UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/557,755	11/17/2005	Gregory L. Branch	110120.403	1626
31740 THOMAS LOC	7590 02/19/200)P	8	EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 2146	56	MIGGINS, MICHAEL C		
SEATTLE, WA 98111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/557,755	BRANCH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael C. Miggins	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No.	ovember 2005					
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
'=	/ -					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
	4) Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	I					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/557,755 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Comments

Independent claims 1, 8, 16, 17, 26, 38-40 are product by process claims containing virtually no product limitations and all process limitations. Process limitations in a product claim are given little to no patentable weight since the process by which a product is made is not germane to the patentability of a product in a product claim (MPEP 2113). Therefore, it is strongly recommended that applicant pursue claims 1-32 and 38-40 as method claims.

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-40, drawn to a container.

Group II, claim(s) 41, drawn to a container.

Group III, claim(s) 33, drawn to a container.

Group IV, claims(s) 34, 36-37, drawn to a container.

Group V, claims(s) 35, drawn to a container.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group I has the technical features of interleaving, exposing to a non-reacted gas, decompressing,

foaming and trimming, while Group II has the technical feature of an outer container of foamed PET and an inner tray of foamed PET positioned within the outer container, Group III has the technical feature of a container of a thermoformed foamed polymer having wall angles of less than 35 degrees from vertical, Group IV has the technical feature of a container of a thermoformed foamed polymer having a depth to width ratio exceeding 1:1 and Group V has the technical feature of a container formed into a foamed polymer cup.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

If applicant elects group I, applicant is required to elect a single species from those listed below.

The species are as follows:

- A. claims 1-7
- B. claims 8-15
- C. claims 16 and 38
- D. claims 17-26, 29-32
- E. claims 39-40

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Application/Control Number: 10/557,755 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

A. a container comprising a material selected from the group consisting of recycled preconsumer polymer, recycled post-consumer polymer and scrap solid state process foamed polymer.

- B. a container comprising a foamed article having a relative density of 5 to 80%.
- C. a container comprising PET crystallized to 19-35% at least on its surface.
- D. a container enabling deep draw and high definition.
- E. a container a container made by a process wherein the step of forming occurs before the impregnated plasticizing gas concentration falls below about 0.5 percent by weight of the plasticized thermoplastic material.

The following claim(s) are generic: none.

5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: species A-E lack the same or corresponding special technical features as outlined just above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Miggins whose telephone number is 571-272-1494. The examiner can normally be reached on 1:00-10:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/557,755 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael C. Miggins/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

MCM February 14, 2008